



1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor  
New York, NY 10019-6022  
PHONE 212.999.5800  
FAX 212.999.5899  
[www.wsgr.com](http://www.wsgr.com)

January 18, 2017

**VIA ECF**

The Honorable Dora L. Irizarry  
Chief Judge  
United States District Court  
Eastern District of New York  
225 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

**Re: United States v. Mark Nordlicht, No. 1:16-cr-640-DLI**

Dear Chief Judge Irizarry:

We write on behalf of six of the seven defendants<sup>1</sup> concerning the government's *ex parte*, sealed Reassignment Letter (Dkt. No. 1 at Attachment 3) (the "Letter"). For the reasons set forth below, we respectfully request that Your Honor Order that: (1) the Reassignment Letter be unsealed and publicly filed and (2) the government identify *all* existing "related cases" to the matter at hand, to the extent such information is not included in the Letter.

By way of background, on December 14, 2016, the government filed an eight-count indictment under seal against the defendants charging various counts of securities fraud, wire fraud and conspiracy to commit the same. *See* Dkt. No. 1. According to the public docket, that same day, the government also filed, as an attachment to the indictment, a sealed, *ex parte* "Reassignment Letter." *Id.* On December 19, 2016, the defendants were arrested and the government moved to unseal the indictment, but not the Reassignment Letter. The indictment reflects that this case was originally assigned to Judge Allyne R. Ross; however, in advance of the arraignment proceeding the government informed the parties that the case had been reassigned to Your Honor.

Counsel for several defendants have subsequently asked the government to provide the defense with a copy of the Letter. The government has refused these requests, stating only that in its view the reason for keeping this information from the defendants still applies. Having received no information from the government, we can only assume that the case was reassigned because it was deemed to be "related" to another case pending before Your Honor.

Local Rule 50.3.2 of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York ("Rule 50.3.2") governs the assignment of related criminal cases in this district. While Rule 50.3.2

---

<sup>1</sup> Defendant Uri Landesman does not join this application.



The Honorable Dora L. Irizarry

January 18, 2017

Page 2 of 2

permits the government to file its related case notice *ex parte* and under seal, it expressly provides that that government “shall promptly move to unseal the notice once the need for *ex parte* and sealed filing no longer exists.” Rule 50.3.2(c)(2). Now that the indictment has been unsealed and the defendants arrested, it is difficult to understand any continuing reason to withhold the Letter. Indeed, to the extent that the government is concerned about the defendants learning the identities of cooperating witnesses at this early stage, those concerns may be wholly addressed by redacting the names and other identifying information or, alternatively, by producing the letter on an “attorneys’ eyes only” basis. Defense counsel seeks only to evaluate the adequacy of the submission made to the Court and the basis for reassignment.

This is not the first time these issues have been raised before the Court. For example, in *United States v. Simels, et al.*, Your Honor ruled, under a predecessor to Rule 50.3.2, that the government’s failure to share the relation letter with defense counsel and failure to electronically file that letter, rendered it an improper *ex parte* communication. See Case No. 1:08-cr-00640-DLI, Text-Only Entry Order dated September 26, 2008.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation

s/ Morris J. Fodeman  
Morris J. Fodeman

Cc: AUSA Alicyn L. Cooley (via ECF/email)  
AUSA Lauren Howard Elbert (via ECF/email)  
AUSA Sarah Evans (via ECF/email)  
AUSA Winston M. Paes (via ECF/email)

Defense Counsel of Record (via ECF/email)